International Conference on Engineering, Science, Social Science, Humanities and Management (ICESSSHM - 2026)
13th June, 2026, West Bengal, India.

CERTIFICATE NO : ICESSSHM /2026/C0626609

Legal Accountability Mechanisms for Predictive Analytics and Machine Learning Systems

Gayathri
Research Scholar, Ph.D. in Law, Mansarovar Global University, Sehore, M.P., India.

ABSTRACT

Predictive analytics and machine learning systems now influence high-stakes determinations ranging from loan approvals and insurance underwriting to bail decisions and welfare eligibility. Their capacity to process vast datasets and generate probabilistic forecasts has outpaced the development of corresponding legal accountability structures. This doctoral research investigates the adequacy of prevailing legal frameworks in attributing responsibility, ensuring transparency, and providing effective remedies when such systems cause harm or infringe rights.

The study begins by delineating the distinctive technical features of machine-learning models—opacity, dynamic adaptation, and reliance on historical data—that complicate traditional notions of causation and foreseeability in law. It then examines the principal legal regimes currently invoked to govern these technologies, including data-protection statutes that confer rights against solely automated decisions, anti-discrimination laws addressing disparate impact, and emerging sectoral rules on algorithmic transparency. Comparative analysis of regulatory approaches adopted in different jurisdictions reveals divergent strategies for balancing innovation with the protection of fundamental rights.

Special emphasis is placed on the problem of algorithmic bias and the legal standards applicable to discriminatory outcomes produced by predictive models. The research evaluates whether existing doctrines of indirect discrimination, disparate impact, and reasonable accommodation can be meaningfully applied to complex ensemble and deep-learning systems. Parallel inquiry addresses liability allocation among data controllers, model developers, and end users, assessing the suitability of strict liability, negligence, and product-liability principles. Judicial decisions and regulatory enforcement actions are analysed to identify patterns of judicial reasoning and residual areas of legal uncertainty.

The thesis further explores procedural safeguards such as mandatory algorithmic impact assessments, independent audits, and rights to explanation and contestation. It assesses their practical enforceability and proposes refinements that account for the probabilistic character of machine-learning outputs. By synthesizing doctrinal analysis with comparative insights, the work formulates a coherent set of accountability mechanisms capable of addressing the distinctive risks posed by predictive analytics and machine learning. The resulting framework aims to strengthen legal certainty for stakeholders while reinforcing the protection of privacy, equality, and due process in an increasingly automated decision-making environment.

Keywords: *Machine Learning Regulation, Algorithmic Bias, Legal Liability*.